PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

<i>I</i>			- Control of the last of the l
REC'D	23	Feb	2005
WIPO	-		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

AP945PCT	1	FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
1 O 1/OA2004/000396		ational filing date (day/month/year) 3.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.03.2003
International Patent Clas H04M7/00, H04Q3/6	sification (IPC) or national cl	lassification and IPC	
Applicant EASYLINK NETWO	RKS INC. et al		
This report is the Authority under A This REPORT or	international preliminary rticle 35 and transmitted	examination report, established b to the applicant according to Artic	by this International Preliminary Examining
2. THIS REPORT CO	nsists of a total of 8 she	ets, including this cover sheet.	·
3. I his report is also	accompanied by ANNE	XES, comprising:	
a. ⊔ sent to the	applicant and to the Inte	ernational Bureau) a total of shee	ets, as follows:
	OU THE DESCRIPTION AIRIN	oo ond har due	en amended and are the basis of this repo by (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
☐ sheets	Which superseds carlie	Mahaata tuut oo booka .	considers contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation	a international Bureau or isting and/or tables related by to Sequence Listing (s	nly) a total of (indicate type and nued thereto, in computer readable forms the Section 802 of the Administrat	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing orm only, as indicated in the Supplementa live Instructions).
 This report contain 	ns indications relating to	the following items:	
_	Basis of the opinion	-	
	Priority		
_	•	nion with rogard to	
☐ Box No IV	ack of unity of invention	with regard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV	ack of drifty of filed line.		
⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned statement und	er Article 25/0)tu	elty, inventive step or industrial
⊠ Box No. V F	Reasoned statement und pplicability; citations and certain documents cited	er Article 35(2) with regard to nove I explanations supporting such sta	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
⊠ Box No. V I	Reasoned statement und pplicability; citations and Pertain documents cited Pertain defects in the inte	er Article 35(2) with regard to nove dexplanations supporting such sta	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VII	Reasoned statement und pplicability; citations and Pertain documents cited Pertain defects in the inte	er Article 35(2) with regard to nove I explanations supporting such sta	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII	Reasoned statement und applicability; citations and certain documents cited certain defects in the intertain observations on the	er Article 35(2) with regard to novel explanations supporting such statement of the such statement of the such statement of the such statement of the such such such such such such such such	
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Reasoned statement und applicability; citations and certain documents cited certain defects in the intertain observations on the	er Article 35(2) with regard to nove dexplanations supporting such sta	
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII ate of submission of the di	Reasoned statement und applicability; citations and certain documents cited certain defects in the integrations on the mand	er Article 35(2) with regard to novel explanations supporting such statement of the such statement of the such statement of the such statement of the such such such such such such such such	
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII The state of submission of the dots 1.01.2005 Box Mo. VIII Box No. VIII Bo	Reasoned statement und applicability; citations and certain documents cited certain defects in the interestain observations on the mand	er Article 35(2) with regard to novel explanations supporting such statement of the completion of the	
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII The second of the definition of the defini	Reasoned statement und applicability; citations and certain documents cited certain defects in the interestain observations on the mand of the international rity: ent Office ch	er Article 35(2) with regard to now described application application Date of completion of 22.02.2005	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000396

_	Box No. I Basis of the rep	port		
1	. With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ international search (☐ publication of the inte	ranslations from the original language into the following language, a translation furnished for the purposes of: under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) rnational application (under Rule 12.4) ary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2	 With regard to the elements* have been furnished to the re 	of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which eceiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this lare not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages			
	1-23	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-22	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/13-13/13	as originally filed		
	☐ a sequence listing and/or	any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	 □ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 			
4.	☐ This report has been estal	blished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the c)).		
		some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded "		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000396

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-19,21,22

No: Claims

Claims

20

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No: Claims

20-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-B1-6 205 135 (CHINNI VENKATA RAMANA ET AL) 20 March 2001 (2001-03-20)

D2: EP-A-1 061 728 (TELEDIS TELECOM DIENSTLEISTUNG) 20 December 2000 (2000-12-20)

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent apparatus **claim 20** is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D2 discloses, according to the features of independent apparatus claim 20, a subscriber interface unit (column 4, lines 33f. and 38 to 42) having a first port for connection to a subscriber telephone set (column 4, line 42f.), a second port for connection to a subscriber line (column 4, line 44 to 46), means for detecting dialled digits (column 3, lines 6 to 8) received via the first port and using at least some of the dialled digits to access stored data (column 5, lines 20 to 28) including ranges of destination numbers, and routing rules for different kinds of calls to determine whether the call should be routed via the PSTN or via a data network (paragraph [0012]) and, in the former case, connecting the first port directly to the second port (column 3, lines 11 to 14) and, in the latter case, converting (column 6, lines 5 to 9) subscriber signals to data signals having a format suitable for routing through the data network and for converting data signals received from the data network into signals having a format compatible with the subscriber telephone set, the data signals being routed to and from the second port via modem means (paragraph [0027]).

As a consequence, the subject-matter of claim 20 is not new and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

The fact that, in contrast to the present application, destination Internet addresses have to be manually entered in the device disclosed in D2 is disregarded as this feature is not part of the definition of claim 20.

- 2.2 The subject-matter of claim 21 is considered to define minor implementational details not providing anything of inventive significance (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 3. Furthermore, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent method claim 22 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

D1 discloses, according to the essential features of independent method claim 22, a process for providing dial tone (implicit; column 4, line 52) to a standard telephony device (151-N), collecting therefrom dialled digits (column 5, line 14f.) representing a telephone number of a called party (251-N) and comparing at least some of the dialled digits with stored data comprising at least one range of destination numbers (figs. 5 and 6), said range comprising at least one destination number, to determine whether or not to route the call over a public data network (column 5, lines 25 to 33); connecting through the PSTN (column 3, lines 8 to 10) to a data network connection point (102-1), converting signals from the standard telephony device from analog to digital (column 6, lines 31 to 35), placing the digital signals into packets acceptable by the data network, routing the call via the data network (fig. 1, "INTERNET") to a data connection exit point (202-1) convenient for a called party standard telephony device (251-N), receiving return digital signals from the called party standard telephony device via the data network, converting the return digital signals to analog and conveying the analog return signals to the subscriber telephony device (151-N).

Although minor implementational differences might exist between the definition of claim 22 and the disclosure of D1, the process of connecting two standard telephone devices via a data network is considered to be fully disclosed in D1.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 22 does not involve an inventive activity and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

4. However, the subject-matter proposed in independent claims 1 and 10 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

4.1 Technical Field

The present application relates to a method (claim 1) and a system (claim 10) of routing telephone calls from a subscriber interface unit via the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) or a public data network (e.g. the Internet).

4.2 Closest Prior Art

Document **D1**, which is considered the closest prior art, discloses an alternate access platform which is connected to a local exchange and both data and telephone networks. Calls are routed depending on a call profile. Document **D2** shows a least-cost-routing method choosing between a standard telephone network and an IP connection using a look-up table.

4.3 Problem

One method for call routing employs re-diallers using a dedicated communication link. This method is only accessible to large companies. Another method is that of using two connected computers which are both equipped with communication hardware. This solution, however, is not useful for the general public due to special skills and hardware requirements. A third method, useful only for companies of a certain size, is that of employing a switching device between a PBX and a data network.

The problem to be solved by the present application is therefore the provision of a low cost and easy to use routing method.

4.4 Solution

The present invention solves the above problem by providing a subscriber interface unit at the customer premises, thereby creating a distributed service system, where all routing decisions are made at the customer premises, thereby avoiding expensive equipment or deployment costs of centralized solutions as shown in e.g. D1.

4.5 Conclusion

With none of the prior art documents disclosing nor rendering the above solution, the subject-matter of **claims 1 and 10** is considered as providing an inventive step over the prior art meeting the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 4.6 Claims 2 to 9 and 11 to 19 are dependent on claims 1 and 10 respectively and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 5. The subject-matter defined in claims 1 to 22 is, however, industrially applicable (Article 33(4) PCT).